Abstract

The development of synchronous circuits currently dominates the semiconductor design industry. However, there are major limiting factors to the synchronous, clocked approach, including the increasing difficulty of clock distribution, increasing clock rates, decreasing feature size, increasing power consumption, timing closure effort, and difficulty with design reuse. Asynchronous (clockless) circuits require less power, generate less noise, produce less electromagnetic interference (EMI), and allow for easier reuse of components, compared to their synchronous counterparts, without compromising performance. As the demand continues for designs with higher performance, higher complexity, and decreased feature size, asynchronous paradigms will become more widely used in the industry, as evidenced by the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors’ (ITRS) prediction of a likely shift from synchronous to asynchronous design styles in order to increase circuit robustness, decrease power, and alleviate many clock-related issues. ITRS predicts that asynchronous circuits will account for 19% of chip area within the next 5 years, and 30% of chip area within the next 10 years.

1. Introduction

To meet this growing industry need, students in Computer Engineering should be introduced to asynchronous design to make them more marketable and more prepared for the challenges faced by the digital design community for years to come. In most Computer Engineering curriculums students are only taught the synchronous, clocked paradigm and never even touch on asynchronous digital design. Those curriculums that do mention asynchronous design do so only in passing; the students are not taught how to design asynchronous circuits. The widespread introduction of asynchronous digital design in the classroom is largely constrained by the lack of introductory educational material. This paper will present one approach for integrating asynchronous circuit design into the undergraduate Computer Engineering curriculum, focusing on inclusion in a course on Hardware Design Languages (HDLs), such as VHDL. The proposed method utilizes a VHDL library of asynchronous gates, components, and functions, which can be used to design asynchronous circuits of various complexities. The asynchronous topics fit nicely into the discussion of VHDL generate statements.
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This paper is organized into 5 sections. Section 2 presents an overview of asynchronous logic; Section 3 describes the asynchronous materials developed for use in undergraduate Computer Engineering courses; Section 4 depicts the original VHDL course outline and how it has been augmented to include the asynchronous materials; and Section 5 presents the outcomes of the first offering of the VHDL course with the asynchronous materials included and provides conclusions and directions for future work.

2. Overview of Asynchronous Logic

Asynchronous circuits can be grouped into two main categories: bounded-delay and delay-insensitive models. Bounded-delay models such as micropipelines assume that delays in both gates and wires are bounded. Delays are added based on worse-case scenarios to avoid hazard conditions. This leads to extensive timing analysis of worse-case behavior to ensure correct circuit operation. On the other hand, delay-insensitive (DI) circuits assume delays in both logic elements and interconnects to be unbounded, although they assume that wire forks within basic components, such as a full adder, are isochronic, meaning that the wire delays within a component are much less than the logic element delays within the component, which is a valid assumption even in future nanometer technologies. Wires connecting components do not need to adhere to the isochronic fork assumption. This implies the ability to operate in the presence of indefinite arrival times for the reception of inputs. Completion detection of the output signals allows for handshaking to control input wavefronts. DI design styles therefore require very little, if any, timing analysis to ensure correct operation (i.e. they are correct-by-construction), and they also yield average-case performance rather than the worse-case performance of bounded-delay and synchronous paradigms.

2.1 Delay-Insensitive Circuits

Most DI methods combine C-elements with Boolean gates for circuit construction. A C-element behaves as follows: when all inputs assume the same value then the output assumes this value, otherwise the output does not change. Seitz’s, DIMS, Anantharaman’s, Singh’s, and David’s methods are examples of DI paradigms that only use C-elements to achieve delay-insensitivity. On the other hand, both Phased Logic and NULL Convention Logic (NCL) target a library of multiple gates with hysteresis state-holding functionality. Phased Logic converts a traditional synchronous gate-level circuit into a delay-insensitive circuit by replacing each conventional synchronous gate with its corresponding Phased Logic gate, and then augmenting the new network with additional signals. NCL functions are realized using 27 fundamental gates implementing the set of all functions of four or fewer variables, each with hysteresis state-holding functionality.

Seitz’s method, Anantharaman’s approach, and DIMS require the generation of all minterms to implement a function, where a minterm is defined as the logical AND, or product, containing all input signals in either complemented or non-complemented form. While Singh’s and David’s methods do not require full minterm generation, they rely solely on C-elements for speed-independence. NCL also does not require full minterm generation and furthermore includes 27 fundamental state-holding gates for circuit design, rather than only C-elements, thus yielding a greater potential for optimization than other delay-insensitive paradigms. Phased Logic does not require full minterm generation and does not rely solely on C-elements for speed-
independence; however Phased Logic circuitry is derived directly from its equivalent synchronous design, and not created independently; thus it does not have the same potential for optimization as does NCL. Furthermore, the Phased Logic paradigm has been developed mainly for easing the timing constraints of synchronous designs, not for obtaining speed and power benefits\(^1\), whereas these are main concerns of other asynchronous paradigms.

Self-timed circuits can also be designed at the transistor level as demonstrated by Martin\(^{15}\). However, automation of this method would be vastly different than that of the standard synchronous approach, since it optimizes designs at the transistor level instead of targeting a predefined set of gates, as do the previously mentioned DI methods. Overall, NULL Convention Logic offers the best opportunity for integrating asynchronous digital design into the predominantly synchronous semiconductor design industry for the following reasons:

1) The framework for NCL systems consist of DI combinational logic sandwiched between DI registers, as shown in Figure 1. This framework is very similar to synchronous systems, such that the automated design of NCL circuits can follow the same fundamental steps as synchronous circuit design automation. This will enable the developed DI design flow to be more easily incorporated into the chip design industry, since the tools and design process will already be familiar to designers, such that the learning curve is relatively flat;

2) NCL systems are delay-insensitive, making the design process much easier to automate than other non-DI asynchronous paradigms, since minimal delay analysis is necessary to ensure correct circuit operation; and

3) NCL systems have power, noise, and EMI advantages compared to synchronous circuits, performance and design reuse advantages compared to synchronous and non-DI asynchronous paradigms, area and performance advantages compared to other DI paradigms, and have a number of advantages for designing complex systems, like SoCs, including substantially reduced crosstalk between analog and digital circuits, ease of integrating multi-rate circuits, and ease of component reuse and technology migration.

**Figure 1. NCL system framework:** input wavefronts are controlled by local handshaking signals and Completion Detection instead of by a global clock signal. Feedback requires at least three DI registers in the feedback loop to prevent deadlock\(^\text{16}\).

### 2.2 NCL Circuits

NCL circuits utilize dual-rail or quad-rail logic to achieve delay-insensitivity. A dual-rail signal, \(D\), consists of two wires, \(D^0\) and \(D^1\), which may assume any value from the set \{DATA0, DATA1, NULL\}. The DATA0 state (\(D^0 = 1, D^1 = 0\)) corresponds to a Boolean logic 0, the DATA1 state (\(D^0 = 0, D^1 = 1\)) corresponds to a Boolean logic 1, and the NULL state (\(D^0 = 0, D^1 = 0\)) corresponds to the empty set meaning that the value of \(D\) is not yet available. The two rails are mutually exclusive, such that both rails can never be asserted simultaneously; this state
is defined as an illegal state. A quad-rail signal, $Q$, consists of four wires, $Q^0$, $Q^1$, $Q^2$, and $Q^3$, which may assume any value from the set \{DATA0, DATA1, DATA2, DATA3, NULL\}. The DATA0 state ($Q^0 = 1$, $Q^1 = 0$, $Q^2 = 0$, $Q^3 = 0$) corresponds to two Boolean logic signals, $X$ and $Y$, where $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$. The DATA1 state ($Q^0 = 0$, $Q^1 = 1$, $Q^2 = 0$, $Q^3 = 0$) corresponds to $X = 0$ and $Y = 1$. The DATA2 state ($Q^0 = 0$, $Q^1 = 0$, $Q^2 = 1$, $Q^3 = 0$) corresponds to $X = 1$ and $Y = 0$. The DATA3 state ($Q^0 = 0$, $Q^1 = 0$, $Q^2 = 0$, $Q^3 = 1$) corresponds to $X = 1$ and $Y = 1$, and the NULL state ($Q^0 = 0$, $Q^1 = 0$, $Q^2 = 0$, $Q^3 = 0$) corresponds to the empty set meaning that the result is not yet available. The four rails of a quad-rail NCL signal are mutually exclusive, such that no two rails can ever be asserted simultaneously; these states are defined as illegal states. Both dual-rail and quad-rail signals are space optimal 1-hot delay-insensitive codes, requiring two wires per bit.

NCL circuits are comprised of 27 fundamental gates. These 27 gates constitute the set of all functions consisting of four or fewer variables. Since each rail of an NCL signal is considered a separate variable, a four variable function is not the same as a function of four literals, which would normally consist of eight variables. The primary type of threshold gate, shown in Figure 2, is the $TH_{mn}$ gate, where $1 \leq m \leq n$. TH$_{mn}$ gates have $n$ inputs. At least $m$ of the $n$ inputs must be asserted before the output will become asserted. In a TH$_{mn}$ gate, each of the $n$ inputs is connected to the rounded portion of the gate; the output emanates from the pointed end of the gate; and the gate’s threshold value, $m$, is written inside of the gate.

Another type of threshold gate is referred to as a weighted threshold gate, denoted as $TH_{mnW_{w_1w_2…w_R}}$. Weighted threshold gates have an integer value, $m \geq w_R > 1$, applied to input$R$. Here $1 \leq R < n$; where $n$ is the number of inputs; $m$ is the gate’s threshold; and $w_1$, $w_2$, …$w_R$, each $> 1$, are the integer weights of input1, input2, … input$R$, respectively. For example, consider the TH34W2 gate, whose $n = 4$ inputs are labeled $A$, $B$, $C$, and $D$, shown in Figure 3. The weight of input $A$, $W(A)$, is therefore 2. Since the gate’s threshold, $m$, is 3, this implies that in order for the output to be asserted, either inputs $B$, $C$, and $D$ must all be asserted, or input $A$ must be asserted along with any other input, $B$, $C$, or $D$. NCL threshold gates are designed with hysteresis state-holding capability, such that all asserted inputs must be de-asserted before the output will be de-asserted. Hysteresis ensures a complete transition of inputs back to NULL before asserting the output associated with the next wavefront of input data. Therefore, a TH$_{nn}$ gate is equivalent to an $n$-input C-element and a TH$_{1n}$ gate is equivalent to an $n$-input OR gate. NCL threshold gates may also include a reset input to initialize the output. Circuit diagrams designate resettable gates by either a $d$ or an $n$ appearing inside the gate, along with the gate’s threshold. $d$ denotes the gate as being reset to logic 1; $n$, to logic 0. These resettable gates are used in the design of DI registers.

\[ Z = AB + AC + AD + BCD \]
Figure 4 shows a static CMOS implementation of a TH23 gate, with inputs $A$, $B$, and $C$, and output $Z$. Section 13 details various design implementations (static, semi-static, and dynamic) of NCL threshold gates. Table I lists the 27 fundamental NCL gates used to construct NCL circuits, along with their corresponding Boolean equations and number of transistors for static CMOS implementation. These 27 macros constitute the set of all functions consisting of four or fewer variables. Twenty four of these macros can be realized using complex threshold gates, identical to the standard threshold gate forms for functions of four or fewer variables. The remaining three macros could be constructed from threshold gate networks, but have been implemented as transistor networks to reduce area and delay.

NCL systems contain at least two DI registers, one at both the input and at the output. Two adjacent register stages interact through their request and acknowledge signals, $K_i$ and $K_o$, respectively, to prevent the current DATA wavefront from overwriting the previous DATA wavefront, by ensuring that the two DATA wavefronts are always separated by a NULL wavefront. The acknowledge signals are combined in the Completion Detection circuitry to produce the request signal(s) to the previous register stage, utilizing either the full-word or bit-wise completion strategy. To ensure delay-insensitivity, NCL circuits must adhere to the following criteria: Input-Completeness and Observability. Furthermore, when circuits utilize the bit-wise completion strategy with selective input-incomplete components, they must also adhere to the completion-completeness criterion, which requires that completion signals only be generated such that no two adjacent DATA wavefronts can interact within any combinational component.
Table 1. 27 fundamental NCL gates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCL Macro</th>
<th>Boolean Function</th>
<th>Transistor Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TH12</td>
<td>A + B</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH22</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH13</td>
<td>A + B + C</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH23</td>
<td>AB + AC + BC</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH33</td>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH23w2</td>
<td>A + BC</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH33w2</td>
<td>AB + AC</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH14</td>
<td>A + B + C + D</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH24</td>
<td>AB + AC + AD + BC + BD + CD</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH34</td>
<td>ABC + ABD + ACD + BCD</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH44</td>
<td>ABCD</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH24w2</td>
<td>A + BC + BD + CD</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH34w2</td>
<td>AB + AC + AD + BCD</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH44w2</td>
<td>ABC + ABD + ACD</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH34w3</td>
<td>A + BCD</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH44w3</td>
<td>AB + AC + AD</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH24w22</td>
<td>A + B + CD</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH34w22</td>
<td>AB + AC + AD + BC + BD</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH44w22</td>
<td>AB + ACD + BCD</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH54w22</td>
<td>ABC + ABD</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH34w32</td>
<td>A + BC + BD</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH54w32</td>
<td>AB + ACD</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH44w322</td>
<td>AB + AC + AD + BC</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH54w322</td>
<td>AB + AC + BCD</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THxor0</td>
<td>AB + CD</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THand0</td>
<td>AB + BC + AD</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH24comp</td>
<td>AC + BC + AD + BD</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Asynchronous Circuit Synthesis

Several approaches have been proposed for synthesizing asynchronous circuits from high-level specification languages \(^2, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25\); however all of these require the user to learn a new language to describe the asynchronous circuit, such as Balsa \(^24\) or Tangram \(^22\). High-level descriptions cannot be specified with standard HDLs (i.e. VHDL, Verilog, etc.) commonly used for synchronous circuits. The conversion of a synchronous circuit to its Phased-Logic equivalent has been automated \(^26\); however, as mentioned before, Phased-Logic does not provide the same benefits as other DI approaches, since the Phased-Logic implementation is translated directly from the synchronous circuit and not designed independently. DI circuits can also be designed and simulated at the structural/gate level using commercial design tools, such as Mentor Graphics, Synopsys, and Cadence. However, DI circuits cannot currently be specified as dataflow or behavioral descriptions since DI synthesis tools do not exist.

The author is currently developing a comprehensive methodology for automated synthesis, optimization, and testing of DI digital circuits, starting from an RTL HDL description and ending with an FPGA configuration or ASIC layout, which is being incorporated into the industry-
standard Mentor Graphics, Synopsys, and Cadence toolsets. With this approach, designing DI circuits will follow a very similar process and utilize the same tools as synchronous circuit design, which will expedite the integration of widespread asynchronous circuit usage in the semiconductor industry, thus alleviating many of today’s clock and power related problems.

The basic flow, from left to right, of the proposed NCL design automation methodology is shown in Figure 5. It starts with a VHDL description of the system, which is input to the NCL synthesis tool to be converted to an NCL gate-level netlist. The NCL optimization tool is then used to increase performance of the NCL system, which outputs a modified gate-level netlist. The next step is to decide if the circuit is to be implemented as an ASIC, or on the DI FPGA also being developed by the author. If FPGA implementation is chosen, registration is embedded within the combinational logic to reduce area and increase throughput, the gate-level netlist is placed and routed, and an FPGA configuration file is produced, to be downloaded into the DI FPGA. If ASIC implementation is chosen, the NCL DFT tool is used to augment the gate-level netlist in order to make the DI ASIC testable, which outputs another modified gate-level netlist. The rest of the steps to convert the gate-level netlist to a GDSII file for fabrication are exactly the same as for synchronous design, and can use the existing tools without any necessary modifications. However, these steps are much easier than for synchronous design, since a global clock doesn’t need to be distributed across the entire chip, while ensuring minimal clock skew, which requires extensive delay analysis that requires up to 40% of the design effort for large systems. Note that the proposed NCL design flow is fully compatible with other HDL languages, such as Verilog and System C, since these can be converted to VHDL, using existing design tools, prior to initiating the NCL design process.

The two differences between this design flow and the synchronous one are that synthesis and optimization are performed in two steps and are independent of implementation. In the synchronous flow, implementation decision, synthesis, and optimization, are done using one tool (i.e. Leonardo Spectrum for the Mentor Graphics suite). The NCL flow will also use the same single tool for these steps, but they will be executed using three separate Tcl (tool command language) scripts (i.e. one for synthesis, one for optimization, and one for FPGA placement and routing only if FPGA implementation is chosen). This will allow for the synthesis and optimization methods to be developed concurrently, will give the user more control over the synthesis and optimization process, and will allow for hand-designed circuits to use the optimization tool without the synthesis tool and to be implemented directly on the DI FPGA. These three scripts could be combined into one script in the final implementation, if desired.

Figure 5. NCL automated design methodology flowchart.
The NCL design methodology is currently being incorporated into the Mentor Graphics toolset using Tcl scripts that call C-programs, which are executed from within existing Mentor tools to run NCL specific synthesis, optimization, and DFT algorithms, using pull-down menus. The Tcl scripts will provide the interface between the industrial toolset and the developed NCL tool, while the C-programs perform the majority of the actual computation. While this approach will have a slightly longer run-time than direct integration within the core design tool algorithms, it has overriding advantages. First, Tcl scripts can be executed from within all three toolsets, allowing the methodology to be easily ported to the Synopsys and Cadence toolsets, requiring only slight modifications on the front and back ends of the Mentor Graphics Tcl scripts. Second, the core design tool algorithms are proprietary and subject to change. Thus, development of the algorithms as C-programs called by Tcl scripts, and making them publicly available, will allow other researchers to use and modify the tools for continuing enhancement of research in this area. Finally, the developed tools can be readily merged into the core design tool algorithms at a later date for increased run-time performance, if desired.

3. Developed Materials

To effectively introduce asynchronous digital design into the computer engineering curriculum, lecture notes, example problems, a group project, and a VHDL library of asynchronous gates, components, and functions were developed for incorporation into a senior-level elective / graduate-level course on Digital System Modeling with VHDL. The lecture notes included discussion of both bounded-delay and delay-insensitive asynchronous paradigms, highlighting the differences between the two and comparing each to the synchronous, clocked paradigm, as overviewed in Section 2.1. Following this general discussion, one specific asynchronous paradigm, NULL Convention Logic (NCL), was studied in detail. This included a general introduction to NCL, as overviewed in Section 2.2, as well as specific presentations and assignments on the fundamental NCL components (i.e. registration, combinational logic, and completion detection), input-completeness and observability, dual-rail combinational circuit design, and pipelining optimization, as detailed below.

3.1 NCL Components

NCL systems consist of registration, combinational logic, and completion detection, connected together as shown in Figure 1. NCL registration is realized through cascaded arrangements of single-bit dual-rail registers or single-signal quad-rail registers, depicted in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. These registers consist of TH22 gates that pass a DATA value at the input only when \( K_i \) is request for data (rfd) (i.e. logic 1) and likewise pass NULL only when \( K_i \) is request for null (rfn) (i.e. logic 0). They also contain a NOR gate to generate \( K_o \), which is rfn when the register output is DATA and rfd when the register output is NULL. The registers shown below are reset to NULL, since all TH22 gates are reset to logic 0. However, either register could be instead reset to a DATA value by replacing exactly one of the TH22n gates with a TH22d gate.

An N-bit register stage, comprised of \( N \) single-bit dual-rail NCL registers, requires \( N \) completion signals, one for each bit. The NCL completion component, shown in Figure 8, uses these \( N \) \( K_o \) lines to detect complete DATA and NULL sets at the output of every register stage and request the next NULL and DATA set, respectively. In full-word completion, the single-bit output of the
The completion component is connected to all $K_i$ lines of the previous register stage. Since the maximum input threshold gate is the TH44 gate, the number of logic levels in the completion component for an N-bit register is given by $\left\lceil \log_4 N \right\rceil$. Likewise, the completion component for an N-bit quad-rail registration stage requires $\frac{N}{2}$ inputs, and can be realized in a similar fashion using TH44 gates.

![Figure 6. Single-bit dual-rail register.](image1)

![Figure 7. Single-signal quad-rail register.](image2)

![Figure 8. N-bit completion component.](image3)
3.2 Input-Completeness

Input-Completeness requires that all outputs of a combinational circuit may not transition from NULL to DATA until all inputs have transitioned from NULL to DATA, and that all outputs of a combinational circuit may not transition from DATA to NULL until all inputs have transitioned from DATA to NULL. In circuits with multiple outputs, it is acceptable according to Seitz’s “weak conditions” of delay-insensitive signaling \(^6\), for some of the outputs to transition without having a complete input set present, as long as all outputs cannot transition before all inputs arrive. For example, the NCL AND function in Figure 9 is not input-complete because the output, \(Z\), will transition to DATA0 if either input is DATA0, even if the other input is NULL. However, the half-adder in Figure 10 is input-complete, even though \(C_{out}\) is not input-complete, because both inputs must be DATA in order for \(S\) to transition to DATA, such that the entire output set, \(\{S, C_{out}\}\), cannot transition to DATA until both inputs transition to DATA. The hysteresis within each NCL gate ensures that all inputs must transition to NULL before a combinational circuit’s output will transition to NULL, assuming that the circuit is input-complete with respect to DATA.

![Figure 9. Input-incomplete NCL AND function.](image)

![Figure 10. NCL half-adder.](image)

To determine if a circuit is input complete, one must analyze the equation for each output. An output is input-complete with respect to a particular input iff each non-don’t care product term in the output’s equation contains any of the rails of the particular input. Take Figure 11 for example. The output equations are as follows:  
\[
X_0 = B_0C_1 + B_0B_1 + C_0C_1 + C_0B_1; \\
X_1 = A_1B_1A_0 + A_0B_0 + A_1B_1C_1; \\
Y_0 = A_0C_0 + A_1C_1; \\
Y_1 = A_1B_1C_0 + A_1B_1B_0 + C_1C_0 + C_1B_0.
\]

Removing the don’t cares, where two rails of the same signal are both asserted, yields the following equations:  
\[
X_0 = B_0C_1 + C_0B_1; \\
X_1 = A_1B_1C_0 + A_1B_1C_1; \\
Y_0 = A_0C_0 + A_1C_1; \\
Y_1 = A_1B_1C_0 + C_1B_0. 
\]

\(X\) has a \(B\) in each product term, so it is input-complete with respect to \(B\). Likewise, \(Y\) has a \(C\) in each product term, so it is input-complete with respect to \(C\). To make the circuit input-complete with respect to \(A\), \(A\) must be added to the product terms in which it is missing in either \(X\) or \(Y\). Since \(A\) is only missing in one of the \(Y\) product terms, it is added here, resulting in the following equation:  
\[
Y^1 = A_1B_1C_0 + C_1B_0(A_1 + A_0) = A_1B_1C_0 + C_1B_0A_1 + C_1B_0A_0.
\]

However, since \(Y^0\) contains an \(A_1C_1\) product term, the new \(C_1B_0A_1\) product term in \(Y^1\) must have been a don’t care in the original expression, since both \(Y^0\) and \(Y^1\) cannot be simultaneously asserted; therefore, \(Y\) can be simplified as follows:  
\[
Y^1 = A_1B_1C_0 + C_1B_0A_0. 
\]

The input complete circuit can then be redrawn as shown in Figure 12.
3.3 Observability

Observability requires that no orphans may propagate through a gate. An orphan is defined as a wire that transitions during the current DATA wavefront, but is not used in the determination of the output. Orphans are caused by wire forks and can be neglected through the isochronic fork assumption, as long as they are not allowed to cross a gate boundary. This observability condition, also referred to as indicatability or stability, ensures that every gate transition is observable at the output; which means that every gate that transitions is necessary to transition at least one of the outputs. Consider an unobservable version of an XOR function, shown in Figure 13, where an orphan is allowed to pass through the TH12 gate. For instance, when \( X = \text{DATA}0 \) and \( Y = \text{DATA}0 \), the TH12 gate is asserted, but does not take part in the determination of the output, \( Z = \text{DATA}0 \). This orphan path is shown in boldface in Figure 13. The equation for \( Z_i \) can be repartitioned to obtain a fully observable version of the XOR function, as shown in Figure 14. Here, the two internal TH22 gates are connected to a TH23W2 output gate with a weight of 2, which is the same as the threshold, such that if either internal gate is asserted, its corresponding output gate will always become asserted. Note that this circuit is for example only, since the XOR function can be simplified to two TH24comp gates.
The best way to ensure that a circuit is observable is to not divide product terms when mapping equations to their corresponding gate-level circuits. This however is not required for a circuit to be observable, and is not always possible, for example when a product term contains more than four variables. The circuit in Figure 12 is observable even though a product term has been divided. The TH33 gate is observable because its output has the same weight as the output gate’s threshold, similar to the previous example. This is not the case for the TH22 gate, so it must be analyzed more closely. The equation for the TH22 gate is $A'B^1$; and its output is used in the $X'$ and $Y'$ product terms: $A'B^1C^1$ and $A'B^1C^0$, respectively. Therefore, if the TH22 gate is asserted, it will always cause either $X'$ or $Y'$ to become asserted because $C$ must either be 0 or 1; hence the TH22 gate is observable.

3.4 Dual-Rail Combinational Circuit Design

The design process for NCL combinational circuits is similar to Boolean circuits, where a Karnaugh map, or other simplification technique, can be utilized to determine the simplified sum-of-product (SOP) expressions for each output. However, SOP expressions for both the function’s 1 and 0 outputs are needed. The 0s refer to a signal’s rail0 and the 1s refer to a signal’s rail1. After the expressions for the outputs have been obtained, an assessment must be made to ensure that the circuit is input-complete, as discussed in Section 3.2. If not, the missing input(s) must be added to the appropriate product term(s). The output equations must then be partitioned into groups of four or fewer variables to be mapped to the 27 NCL gates, while ensuring the observability of the circuit, as discussed in the previous section.

Take for example the design of a partial product (PP) generating component for the most significant bit of a Booth2 multiplier, which is only required to be input-complete with respect to input, $MR_1$. Figure 15 shows the Karnaugh map for this component, along with the optimal coverings. Since this design must be input-complete with respect to $MR_1$, the coverings should not eliminate $MR_1$ from the corresponding product term; hence some of the coverings are 2-coverings instead of 4-coverings. The SOP equations are derived directly form the K-map.
coverings as follows:

\[
PP_i = MR_2^1MR_1^1 + MR_1^1MR_0^1MD_{i-1}^1 + MR_2^1MR_0^0MR_0^1 + MR_2^1MR_1^0MD_{i-1}^0;
\]

\[
PP_0 = MR_2^0MR_1^0 + MR_1^0MR_0^0MD_{i-1}^1 + MR_2^0MR_1^1MR_0^0 + MR_2^0MR_1^1MD_{i-1}^0.\]

Since each product term contains \(MR_1\), the circuit is input-complete with respect to \(MR_1\). The equations can be partitioned into four groups of 4 variables as highlighted above, resulting in the optimized circuit shown in Figure 16. Since no product terms were divided, the circuit is observable.

### 3.5 NCL Pipelining Optimization

NCL systems can be optimized for speed by partitioning the combinational circuitry and inserting additional NCL registers and corresponding completion components. However, NCL circuits cannot be partitioned arbitrarily; they can only be divided at component boundaries in order to preserve delay-insensitivity. The cycle time for an NCL system, \(T_{DD}\), can be estimated as the worse-case stage delay of any stage in the pipeline, where the delay of one stage is equal to twice the sum of the stage’s worse-case combinational delay and its completion delay, to account for both the DATA and NULL wavefronts. Algorithm 1 depicts this calculation for an N-stage pipeline, where \(D_{combi}^i\) and \(D_{compi}^i\) are stage \(i\)’s combinational and completion delays, respectively.

\[
\text{max}\_\text{delay} = 2 \times (D_{combi}^i + D_{compi}^i)
\]

for (i = 2 to N) loop

\[
\text{temp}\_\text{delay} = 2 \times (D_{combi}^i + D_{compi}^i)
\]

if (temp\_delay > max\_delay) then

\[
\text{max}\_\text{delay} = \text{temp}\_\text{delay}
\]

end if

end loop

\[
\text{worst}\_\text{case}\_\text{throughput} = 1 / \text{max}\_\text{delay}
\]

**Algorithm 1. NCL throughput calculation.**

NCL pipelining can utilize either of two completion strategies: full-word or bit-wise completion. Full-word completion, as shown in Figure 17, requires that the acknowledge signals from each bit in register \(i\) be conjoined together by the completion component, whose single-bit output is connected to all request lines of register \((i-1)\). On the other hand, bit-wise completion, as shown in Figure 18, only sends the completion signal from bit \(b\) in register \(i\) back to the bits in register \((i-1)\) that took part in the calculation of bit \(b\). This method may therefore require fewer logic levels than that of full-word completion, thus increasing throughput. In this example, bit-wise completion is faster, but it requires more area.
Figure 17. Full-word completion.

Figure 18. Bit-wise completion.
To maximize throughput while minimizing latency and area, the following algorithm should be used to optimally partition an NCL circuit. The non-pipelined quad-rail multiplier in Figure 19 has a worst-case combinational delay of 8 and a completion delay of 1, such that $T_{DD} = 18$. Applying Steps 1-4 of the pipelining algorithm to the quad-rail multiplier yields the results shown in Tables 2 and 3 for full-word and bit-wise completion, respectively. These tables show that the full-word pipelined design has a maximum cycle time (i.e. $2 \times \max_{\text{delay}}$, to account for both the DATA and NULL wavefronts) of 10 gate delays, while the bit-wise pipelined design has a maximum cycle time of 8 gate delays; hence the bit-wise pipelined design is preferred, since it maximizes throughput. Applying Step 5 of the algorithm to merge stages results in both the full-word and bit-wise pipelined designs merging Stages 3 and 4, such that both designs only require 3 stages. The new $D_{\text{comb}}$ is 3 and the new stage delay for both designs is 4. Note that $\max_{\text{outputs}}$ for the bit-wise design changes to 2 for the merged stage, such that $D_{\text{comp}}$ becomes 1.

1) $i = 1$
2) loop until all components are part of a stage
   add all primary components to stage$_i$
   $i = i + 1$
end loop
3) $N = i - 1$
   $\max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{FW}} = 0$
   $\max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{BW}} = 0$
4) for $j$ in 1 to $N$ loop
   $D_{\text{comb}} =$ max delay of stage$_i$'s components
   $B =$ # of outputs from stage$_i$
   $D_{\text{comp}}_j = \left\lceil \log_4 B \right\rceil$
   if ($(D_{\text{comb}} + D_{\text{comp}}_j) > \max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{FW}})$ then
     $\max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{FW}} = D_{\text{comb}} + D_{\text{comp}}_j$
   end if
   $B =$ # of inputs to stage$_i$
   $\max_{\text{outputs}} =$ 1
   for $i$ in 1 to $B$ loop
     num$_{\text{outputs}} =$ number of outputs of stage$_j$ generated by input$_i$
     if (num$_{\text{outputs}} > \max_{\text{outputs}})$ then
       $\max_{\text{outputs}} = \text{num$_{\text{outputs}}$}$
     end if
   end loop
   $D_{\text{comp}} = \left\lceil \log_4 \max_{\text{outputs}} \right\rceil$
   if ($(D_{\text{comb}} + D_{\text{comp}}) > \max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{BW}})$ then
     $\max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{BW}} = D_{\text{comb}} + D_{\text{comp}}$
   end if
end loop
5) if ($\max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{FW}} > \max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{BW}}$) then
   $\text{num$_{\text{stages}}$} =$ call merge$_{\text{BW}}$ function
   output bit-wise pipelined design
elsif ($\max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{BW}} > \max_{\text{delay}}_{\text{FW}}$) then
   $\text{num$_{\text{stages}}$} =$ call merge$_{\text{FW}}$ function
   output full-word pipelined design
else
   $\text{num$_{\text{stages}}_{\text{BW}}$} =$ call merge$_{\text{BW}}$ function
   $\text{num$_{\text{stages}}_{\text{FW}}$} =$ call merge$_{\text{FW}}$ function
   if ($\text{num$_{\text{stages}}_{\text{BW}}$} > \text{num$_{\text{stages}}_{\text{FW}}$}$) then
     output full-word pipelined design
end if
elsif (num_stages_{FW} > num_stages_{BW}) then  -- bit-wise design has less latency
  output bit-wise pipelined design
elsif (area of full-word design > area of bit-wise design) then  -- bit-wise design is smaller
  output bit-wise pipelined design
else
  output full-word pipelined design
end if
end if

num_stages = N
for k in 1 to N-1 loop  -- merge stages to decrease latency
  merged_{comb} = max combinational delay of stage\_k and stage\_k+1 merged into a single stage
  if (merged_{comb} + comp\_k+1 $\leq$ max_{delay}) then
    merge stage\_k into stage\_k+1
    delete stage\_k
    num_stages = num_stages - 1
  end if
end loop
return num\_stages

num_stages = N
for k in 1 to N-1 loop  -- merge stages to decrease latency
  merged_{comb} = max combinational delay of stage\_k and stage\_k+1 merged into a single stage
  B = # of inputs to stage\_k
  max_{outputs} = 1
  for i in 1 to B loop
    num\_outputs = number of outputs of stage\_k+1 generated by input\_i
    if (num\_outputs > max\_outputs) then
      max\_outputs = num\_outputs
    end if
  end loop
  merged\_comp = \left\lceil \log_4 \text{max\_outputs} \right\rceil
  if (merged\_comb + merged\_comp $\leq$ max_{delay}) then
    merge stage\_k into stage\_k+1
    delete stage\_k
    num_stages = num_stages - 1
  end if
end loop
return num\_stages

Algorithm 2. NCL pipelining algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>D_{comb}</th>
<th># Outputs</th>
<th>D_{comp}</th>
<th>delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

max_{delay} = 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>D_{comb}</th>
<th>max_outputs</th>
<th>D_{comp}</th>
<th>delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

max_{delay} = 4
3.6 Asynchronous Logic Assignments and NCL VHDL Library

To test the students’ understanding of asynchronous logic design, they were given one homework assignment over the concepts presented above (see Appendix 1), and one VHDL-based group project (see Appendix 2). In order to assist the students with designing and testing NCL circuits in VHDL, an NCL VHDL library was created. The library consists of a package that defines the fundamental NCL data types, as shown in Appendix 3, a file containing the fundamental NCL gates, based on a 0.5µm AMI process, as shown in Appendix 4, a file...
containing generic versions of standard NCL registration and completion components, as shown in Appendix 5, and a package consisting of various functions to be used in testbenches, as shown in Appendix 6.

4. Course Outline

In this project, asynchronous logic design was incorporated into UMR’s Digital System Modeling (CpE 318) course, which focuses on digital circuit design using VHDL. The original course schedule is shown in Figure 20. This provides the students with approximately 13 weeks of topic lectures, leaving around 3 weeks for discussion of homework and project assignments and their solutions, the midterm exam (i.e. the final exam is given the week after the 16-week semester concludes), and holidays. This schedule has been vetted by the author over the past five years and has been shown to work well. It does require the students to do a sizable amount of work; however, after successful completion of the course, students are well versed in VHDL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Outline</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1) Introduction to Modeling with VHDL</strong></td>
<td>½ week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2) Entity and Architecture Statements</strong></td>
<td>½ week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3) Test Benches</strong></td>
<td>½ week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4) Basic UNIX commands and Mentor Graphics VHDL compiler and simulator</strong></td>
<td>1/3 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW#1: design simple behavioral, dataflow, and structural models and testbench</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5) Packages, function, and procedures</strong></td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW#2: write a package including functions and procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6) Mealy and Moore machines</strong></td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW#3: Mealy and Moore machines, including design, VHDL behavioral and dataflow implementation, state minimization, and state assignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7) Algorithmic State Machines (ASMs)</strong></td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW#4: ASM throughput capability (TPC) calculation, TPC optimization, and VHDL dataflow implementation and synthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8) Mentor Graphics VHDL synthesis tool</strong></td>
<td>1/3 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Project #1: design complex chip, such as Run-Length Encoder, Huffman Decoder, etc. Midterm Exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9) Generic Constants and Generate Statements</strong></td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW#5: design a generic Multiply and Accumulate unit (MAC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10) File I/O</strong></td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11) Floating-Point Arithmetic</strong></td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW#6: design an IEEE single precision floating-point co-processor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>12) Simple RISC Microcontroller Architecture</strong></td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Project #2: augment RISC architecture discussed in class to include additional instructions, such as various branches, a NOP, and a compare, and implement in VHDL, including Text I/O in testbench</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13) Overview of Verilog Modeling Language</strong></td>
<td>½ week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 20. Original VHDL course schedule.**

To integrate the asynchronous logic material into the course, the last quarter of the original schedule was revised, as shown in Figure 21. The floating-point arithmetic and microprocessor architecture topics were replaced with the asynchronous topics; HW#5 on generic constants and
generate statements was changed to instead cover Text I/O; HW#6 on the design of an IEEE single precision floating-point co-processor was switched with an assignment on NCL; and Design Project #2 on implementing a microcontroller in VHDL and Text I/O was replaced with the design of a complex generic NCL arithmetic circuit. These changes replace 3 weeks of topics with 2 2/3 weeks of asynchronous logic topics, providing an extra 1/3 week for additional explanation of the NCL assignments and solutions. Furthermore, the changes do not eliminate any key VHDL course modules; both floating-point arithmetic and RISC microcontroller architecture are covered in various other Computer Engineering courses, and were only discussed in the VHDL course so that they could be used as sample circuits to be designed in VHDL. Furthermore, since asynchronous circuits must be designed as structural models and cannot be described as behavioral or dataflow models and synthesized using the industry standard tools, the topic fits seamlessly into the discussion of generate statements, which are mostly used in structural models.

1) Introduction to Modeling with VHDL ½ week
2) Entity and Architecture Statements ½ week
3) Test Benches ½ week
4) Basic UNIX commands and Mentor Graphics VHDL compiler and simulator 1/3 week
   HW#1: design simple behavioral, dataflow, and structural models and testbench
5) Packages, function, and procedures 1 week
   HW#2: write a package including functions and procedures
6) Mealy and Moore machines 2 weeks
   HW#3: Mealy and Moore machines, including design and VHDL behavioral and
dataflow implementation, state minimization, and state assignment
7) Algorithmic State Machines (ASMs) 2 weeks
8) Mentor Graphics VHDL synthesis tool 1/3 week
   HW#4: ASM throughput capability (TPC) calculation, TPC optimization, and VHDL
dataflow implementation and synthesis
   Design Project #1: design complex chip, such as Run-Length Encoder, Huffman Decoder, etc.
   Midterm Exam
9) Generic Constants and Generate Statements 1 week
10) File I/O 1 week
   HW#5: augment the Design Project #1 testbench to read the inputs from a text file
       and store the outputs to a text file
11) Overview of Asynchronous Logic 1/3 week
12) Overview of NCL ½ week
13) Input-Completeness and Observability ½ week
14) NCL Dual-Rail Combinational Logic Design ½ week
15) NCL Pipelining Optimization ½ week
   HW#6: NCL assignment (see Appendix 1)
16) Asynchronous Circuit Synthesis 1/3 week
   Design Project #2: design NCL generic arithmetic circuit, such as a MAC, iterative divider, greatest common divisor (GCD), etc. (see Appendix 2)
17) Overview of Verilog Modeling Language ½ week
   Final Exam

Figure 21. Revised VHDL course schedule.
5. Conclusions and Future Work

Overall, the students found the asynchronous logic topics very interesting, and performed quite well on the related assignments. The class average on the asynchronous logic homework assignment was the second highest of the six homeworks (i.e. 83% verses 86%, 76%, 73%, 64%, and 44%). The asynchronous project’s average was approximately the same as the first project (i.e. 85% verses 87%); however, this includes one group of two students whom decided not to complete the project, and therefore received a 31% on the partial submission. Excluding this outlier boosts the asynchronous project’s average to 91%. The knowledge gained in this course will better prepare students for the challenges faced by the digital design community for years to come.

This work will be continued next semester, as asynchronous logic topics are also incorporated into UMR’s Introduction to VLSI course, so that students will have a better chance of being exposed to asynchronous logic design. The VLSI course will cover some of the same fundamental topics discussed in the VHDL course, but will focus on lower level design issues, such as transistor-level NCL gate design, how the internal feedback (i.e. hysteresis) within NCL gates affects a circuit’s testability, and various NCL gate implementations (i.e. static, semi-static, and dynamic). The VLSI course will culminate with the design of a large NCL circuit, using the Mentor Graphics design tools. NCL transistor-level, physical-level, and Design-For-Test (DFT) libraries are currently being developed for the Mentor Graphics toolset for use in this course, using a 0.18µm TSMC process. Furthermore, the VHDL library is also being updated. Specifically, the NCL gates file will be updated with revised propagation delays based on the new 0.18µm TSMC physical-level library being developed; and functions for converting between std_logic, dual_rail_logic, and quad_rail_logic will be added to the NCL functions package. These libraries are available for download at: www.ece.umr.edu/~smithco/CCLI_async. *** comment: website currently under development ***
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Appendix 1: Homework Assignment on Asynchronous Logic Design

CpE 318 HW#6 (due Tuesday, May 2)
(5 points each for a total of 20 points)

1) Design a fully observable and input-complete dual-rail 2:1 multiplexer having a worse-case delay of no more than two gates. The inputs are $D_0, D_1$, and $S$, which are all dual-rail signals. The output is $F$, which is also a dual-rail signal.

2) In order to maximize throughput for a dual-rail pipelined unsigned Booth2 multiplier, the partial product generation is partitioned into two parts. The first part recodes each group of three dual-rail multiplier signals ($MR_2, MR_1, MR_0$) into a dual-rail signal, $S$, and a quad-rail select signal, $sel$. $S = 0$ if the partial product is positive; and $S = 1$ if the partial product is negative. $sel = 0$ if the partial product is $+0$; $sel = 1$ if the partial product is $\pm MD$; $sel = 2$ if the partial product is $\pm 2MD$; and $sel = 3$ if the partial product is $-0$. These two signals, $S$ and $sel$, are then used as inputs to the second part, along with the dual-rail multiplicand signals, $MD_i$ and $MD_{i-1}$, to generate the corresponding partial product bit, $PP_i$.

a) Below is the circuitry to generate $PP_i$.

i) Is this circuit input-complete with respect to any of its inputs? Explain.

ii) Is this circuit observable? Explain. If not, make it observable, but do not change its input-completeness or increase its worse-case delay.
3) For the following quad-rail multiplier:

i) Put an “X” through each component in the critical path(s). What is $T_{DD}$ for this non-pipelined design?

ii) If you were to maximize the throughput using full-word completion, what is the optimal $T_{DD}$ and what is the minimal number of stages required? Show your work.

iii) If you were to maximize the throughput using bit-wise completion, what is the optimal $T_{DD}$ and what is the minimal number of stages required? Show your work.
4) Redraw the following circuit using both full-word and bit-wise completion. Which completion strategy is better in terms of area? Which is better in terms of speed? Explain.
Appendix 2: Group Project on Asynchronous Logic Design

CpE 318, Section A: Design Project #2
(due Tuesday, May 9)

* This project can be done in groups of up to three. Groups must be approved by me no later than Tuesday, April 18. No collaboration between groups is permitted. Identical or nearly identical solutions will result in both groups receiving NO CREDIT (at my discretion).

Problem: Design a Generic NCL 2\(^{nd}\) Complement MAC

* entity name: MAC
* generic constants: \(X\_len, Y\_len\): positive, default to 4 (\(X\_len \leq Y\_len\)); 
  \(A\_len\): positive, default to 8
* inputs: \(X, Y\): arbitrary length dual\_rail\_logic\_vector (assume \(\geq 4\)); \(reset, Ki\): std\_logic
* outputs: \(A\): arbitrary length dual\_rail\_logic\_vector (assume \(\geq X\_len+Y\_len\)); 
  \(OV\): dual\_rail\_logic; \(Ko\): std\_logic

* name your file: MAC\_group#.vhd
* include as comments: name and student number of group members
* use the array structured multiplication algorithm

* email your main design, components, and testbench(es) as attachments from the PC (ftp to PC) to: smithsco@umr.edu
* your design will then be run on my testbenches
* make sure that all names and input/output order match those on this sheet, otherwise your design will not run on my testbenches and points will be deducted

* Turn in a report including the following
  - project description
  - Block Diagram of MAC Chip
  - gate-level design of MAC components (i.e. FA, HA, AND2, NAND2, FA1, HA1, OVcomp, etc.)
    - component level diagram of the following sized MACs
      \(> 8+4\times4\)
      \(> 12+5\times4\)
  - MAC VHDL code
  - VHDL testbench(es), testing 8+4×4 and 12+5×4 MACs
  - simulation macro(s)

Hints:
- register the overflow and take both \(OV\) and \(A\) from the register output
- remember that at least 3 registers are required in a feedback loop to avoid deadlock
- the following example constructs may be helpful:
  type twoD\_array is array(3 downto 0, 3 downto 0) of dual\_rail\_logic;
  signal intermediate: twoD\_array;
  intermediate(3, 0) <= D;  -- D is defined as dual\_rail\_logic
Appendix 3: NCL Data Types Package

-- NCL_signals.vhd

Library IEEE;
use IEEE.std_logic_1164.all;

package ncl_signals is

  type quad_rail_logic is
    record
      RAIL3 : std_logic;
      RAIL2 : std_logic;
      RAIL1 : std_logic;
      RAIL0 : std_logic;
    end record;

  type quad_rail_logic_vector is array (NATURAL range <>) of quad_rail_logic;

  type dual_rail_logic is
    record
      RAIL1 : std_logic;
      RAIL0 : std_logic;
    end record;

  type dual_rail_logic_vector is array (NATURAL range <>) of dual_rail_logic;

end ncl_signals;
Appendix 4: NCL Gates File

-- NCL_gates.vhd
-- based on 0.5um technology

-------------------------------------
-- invx0
-------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

description invx0 of invx0 is
begin
    invx0: process(i)
    begin
        if i = '0' then
            zb <= '1' after 0.3 ns;
        elsif i = '1' then
            zb <= '0' after 0.18 ns;
        else
            zb <= not i;
        end if;
    end process;
end archinvx0;

-------------------------------------
-- th12bx0
-------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

description th12bx0 of th12bx0 is
begin
    th12bx0: process(a, b)
    begin
        if a = '0' and b = '0' then
            zb <= '1' after 0.45 ns;
        elsif a = '1' or b = '1' then
            zb <= '0' after 0.22 ns;
        else
            zb <= a nor b;
        end if;
    end process;
end archth12bx0;

-------------------------------------
-- th12x0
-------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

description th12x0 is
port(a: in std_logic;
    b: in std_logic;
    z: out std_logic);
end th12x0;

architecture archth12x0 of th12x0 is
begin
    th12x0: process(a, b)
    begin
        if a = '0' and b = '0' then
            z <= '0' after 0.65 ns;
        elsif a = '1' or b = '1' then
            z <= '1' after 0.45 ns;
        else
            z <= a or b;
        end if;
    end process;
end archth12x0;

-------------------------------------
-- th13bx0
-------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th13bx0 is
    port(a: in std_logic;
        b: in std_logic;
        c: in std_logic;
        zb: out std_logic);
end th13bx0;

architecture archth13bx0 of th13bx0 is
begin
    th13bx0: process(a, b, c)
    begin
        if a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0' then
            zb <= '1' after 0.65 ns;
        elsif a = '1' or b = '1' or c = '1' then
            zb <= '0' after 0.32 ns;
        else
            zb <= not(a or b or c);
        end if;
    end process;
end archth13bx0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- th13x0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th13x0 is
    port(a: in std_logic;
        b: in std_logic;
        c: in std_logic;
        z: out std_logic);
end th13x0;

architecture archth13x0 of th13x0 is
begin
    th13x0: process(a, b, c)
    begin
if $a = '0'$ and $b = '0'$ and $c = '0'$ then
$z = '0'$ after 0.75 ns;
elsif $a = '1'$ or $b = '1'$ or $c = '1'$ then
$z = '1'$ after 0.55 ns;
else
$z = a$ or $b$ or $c$;
end if;
end process;
end archth13x0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- th14bx0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th14bx0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       zb: out std_logic);
end th14bx0;

architecture archth14bx0 of th14bx0 is
begin
th14bx0: process(a, b, c, d)
begin
  if $a = '0'$ and $b = '0'$ and $c = '0'$ and $d = '0'$ then
    $zb <= '1'$ after 0.32 ns;
  elsif $a = '1'$ or $b = '1'$ or $c = '1'$ or $d = '1'$ then
    $zb <= '0'$ after 0.65 ns;
  else
    $zb <= not(a$ or b$ or c or d);
  end if;
end process;
end archth14bx0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- th14x0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th14x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic);
end th14x0;

architecture archth14x0 of th14x0 is
begin
th14x0: process(a, b, c, d)
begin
  if $a = '0'$ and $b = '0'$ and $c = '0'$ and $d = '0'$ then
    $z <= '0'$ after 0.75 ns;
  elsif $a = '1'$ or $b = '1'$ or $c = '1'$ or $d = '1'$ then
    $z <= '1'$ after 0.55 ns;
  else
    $z <= a$ or $b$ or $c$ or $d$;
  end if;
end process;
end archth14x0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- th22dx0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th22dx0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       rst: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic);
end th22dx0;

architecture archth22dx0 of th22dx0 is
begin
  th22dx0: process(a, b, rst)
  begin
    if rst = '1' then -- reset
      z <= '1' after 0.23 ns;
    elsif (a= '1' and b= '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.23 ns;
    elsif  (a= '0' and b= '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.19 ns;
    end if;
  end process;
end archth22dx0;

 -----------------------------------------------------------
-- th22nx0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th22nx0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       rst: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic);
end th22nx0;

architecture archth22nx0 of th22nx0 is
begin
  th22nx0: process(a, b, rst)
  begin
    if rst = '1' then -- reset
      z <= '0' after 0.16 ns;
    elsif (a= '1' and b= '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.29 ns;
    elsif  (a= '0' and b= '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.16 ns;
    end if;
  end process;
end archth22nx0;

 -----------------------------------------------------------
-- th22x0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
entity th22x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th22x0;

architecture archth22x0 of th22x0 is
begin
  th22x0: process(a, b)
  begin
    if (a= '1' and b= '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.4 ns;
    elsif (a= '0' and b= '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.2 ns;
    end if;
  end process;
end archth22x0;

-- th23w2x0

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th23w2x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th23w2x0;

architecture archth23w2x0 of th23w2x0 is
begin
  th23w2x0: process(a, b, c)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif (a= '1' or (b= '1' and c= '1')) then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth23w2x0;

-- th23x0

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th23x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th23x0;

architecture archth23x0 of th23x0 is
begin
  th23x0: process(a, b, c)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
elsif (a= '1' and b= '1') or (b= '1' and c= '1') or (c= '1' and a= '1') then
  z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
end if;
end process;
end archth23x0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- th24x0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th24x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th24x0;

architecture archth24x0 of th24x0 is
begin
  th24x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif  ((a= '1' and b= '1') or (a= '1' and c= '1') or (a= '1' and d= '1')
      or (b= '1' and c= '1') or (b= '1' and d= '1') or (c= '1' and d = '1'))
      then
      z <= '1' after 0.4 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth24x0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- th24w22x0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th24w22x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       b: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th24w22x0;

architecture archth24w22x0 of th24w22x0 is
begin
  th24w22x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif  (a= '1' or b= '1' or (c= '1' and d = '1')) then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth24w22x0;
-- th24w2x0

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th24w2x0 is
    port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
        b: in std_logic;
        c: in std_logic;
        d: in std_logic;
        z: out std_logic);
end th24w2x0;

architecture archth24w2x0 of th24w2x0 is
begin
    th24w2x0: process(a, b, c, d)
    begin
        if (a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0' and d = '0') then
            z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
        elsif (a = '1' or (b = '1' and c = '1') or (b = '1' and d = '1') or
            (c = '1' and d = '1')) then
            z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
        end if; -- else NULL
    end process;
end archth24w2x0;

-- th33dx0

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th33dx0 is
    port(a: in std_logic;
        b: in std_logic;
        c: in std_logic;
        rst: in std_logic;
        z: out std_logic);
end th33dx0;

architecture archth33dx0 of th33dx0 is
begin
    th33dx0: process(a, b, c, rst)
    begin
        if rst = '1' then
            z <= '1' after 0.23 ns;
        elsif (a = '1' and b = '1' and c = '1') then
            z <= '1' after 0.57 ns;
        elsif (a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0') then
            z <= '0' after 0.25 ns;
        end if; -- else NULL
    end process;
end archth33dx0;

-- th33nx0

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th33nx0 is
    port(a: in std_logic;
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th33nx0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       rst: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th33nx0;

architecture archth33nx0 of th33nx0 is
begin
  th33nx0: process(a, b, c, rst)
  begin
    if rst = '1' then
      z <= '0' after 0.16 ns;
    elsif (a= '1' and b= '1' and c= '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.57 ns;
    elsif  (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.25 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth33nx0;

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th33w2x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th33w2x0;

architecture archth33w2x0 of th33w2x0 is
begin
  th33w2x0: process(a, b, c)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0') then

z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
elsif (a= '1' and (b= '1' or c= '1')) then
z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
end if; -- else NULL
end process;
end archth33w2x0;

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th34w22x0 is
port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
b: in std_logic; -- weight 2
b: in std_logic;
d: in std_logic;
z: out std_logic );
end th34w22x0;

architecture archth34w22x0 of th34w22x0 is
begin
  th34w22x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif (a = '1' and b = '1') or (a = '1' and c = '1') or (a = '1' and d = '1')
      or (b = '1' and c = '1') or (b = '1' and d = '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth34w22x0;

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th34w22x0 is
port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
b: in std_logic;
c: in std_logic;
d: in std_logic;
z: out std_logic );
end th34w22x0;

architecture archth34w22x0 of th34w22x0 is
begin
  th34w22x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif (a = '1' and b = '1')
      or (a = '1' and c = '1')
      or (a = '1' and d = '1')
      or (b = '1' and c = '1') or (b = '1' and d = '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth34w22x0;
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th34w32x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 3
       b: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th34w32x0;

architecture archth34w32x0 of th34w32x0 is
begin
  th34w32x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif  (a= '1' or (b= '1' and (c= '1' or d = '1')) ) then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth34w32x0;

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th34w3x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 3
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th34w3x0;

architecture archth34w3x0 of th34w3x0 is
begin
  th34w3x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif  (a= '1' or (b= '1' and c= '1' and d = '1')) then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth34w3x0;

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th34x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th34x0;
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th44x0 is
   port(a: in std_logic;
        b: in std_logic;
        c: in std_logic;
        d: in std_logic;
        z: out std_logic);
end th44x0;

architecture archth44x0 of th44x0 is
begin
   th44x0: process(a, b, c, d)
   begin
      if (a= '1' and b= '1' and c= '1' and d= '1') then
         z <= '1' after 0.57 ns;
      elsif (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d= '0') then
         z <= '0' after 0.25 ns;
      end if; -- else NULL
   end process;
end archth44x0;

-- th44x0

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th44w22x0 is
   port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
        b: in std_logic; -- weight 2
        c: in std_logic;
        d: in std_logic;
        z: out std_logic);
end th44w22x0;

architecture archth44w22x0 of th44w22x0 is
begin
   th44w22x0: process(a, b, c, d)
   begin
      if ((a= '1' and b= '1') or

---
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th44w2x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic);
end th44w2x0;

architecture archth44w2x0 of th44w2x0 is
begin
  th44w2x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if ((a= '1' and b= '1' and c= '1') or
        (a= '1' and b= '1' and d= '1')) then
      z <= '1' after 0.57 ns;
    elsif (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d= '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.25 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth44w2x0;

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th44w322x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 3
       b: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       c: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic);
end th44w322x0;

architecture archth44w322x0 of th44w322x0 is
begin
  th44w322x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif ( a = '1' and ( b = '1' or c = '1' or d = '1')) or
      ( b = '1' and c = '1' ) then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth44w322x0;
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th44w3x0 is
    port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 3
        b: in std_logic;
        c: in std_logic;
        d: in std_logic;
        z: out std_logic);
end th44w3x0;

architecture archth44w3x0 of th44w3x0 is
begin
    th44w3x0: process(a, b, c, d)
    begin
        if (a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0' and d = '0') then
            z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
        elsif a = '1' and (b = '1' or c = '1' or d = '1') then
            z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
        end if; -- else NULL
    end process;
end archth44w3x0;

-- th54w22x0

entity th54w22x0 is
    port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 2
        b: in std_logic; -- weight 2
        c: in std_logic;
        d: in std_logic;
        z: out std_logic);
end th54w22x0;

architecture archth54w22x0 of th54w22x0 is
begin
    th54w22x0: process(a, b, c, d)
    begin
        if (a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0' and d = '0') then
            z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
        elsif (a = '1' and b = '1' and (c = '1' or d = '1')) then
            z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
        end if; -- else NULL
    end process;
end archth54w22x0;

-- th54w322x0

entity th54w322x0 is
    port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 3
        b: in std_logic; -- weight 2
        c: in std_logic; -- weight 2
        d: in std_logic;
        z: out std_logic);
end th54w322x0;

architecture archth54w322x0 of th54w322x0 is
begin
    th54w322x0: process(a, b, c, d)
    begin
        if (a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0' and d = '0') then
            z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
        elsif (a = '1' and b = '1' and (c = '1' or d = '1')) then
            z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
        end if; -- else NULL
    end process;
end archth54w322x0;
architecture archth54w322x0 of th54w322x0 is
begin
  th54w322x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif (a = '1' and b = '1') or (a = '1' and c = '1') or
      (b = '1' and c = '1' and d = '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth54w322x0;

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th54w32x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic; -- weight 3
       b: in std_logic; -- weight 2
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end th54w32x0;

architecture archth54w32x0 of th54w32x0 is
begin
  th54w32x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif (a = '1' and b = '1') or (a = '1' and c = '1') or
      (b = '1' and c = '1' and d = '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archth54w32x0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- th54w32x0
-----------------------------------------------------------

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity thand0x0 is
  port(a: in std_logic;
       b: in std_logic;
       c: in std_logic;
       d: in std_logic;
       z: out std_logic );
end thand0x0;

architecture archthand0x0 of thand0x0 is
begin
  thand0x0: process(a, b, c, d)
  begin
    if (a = '0' and b = '0' and c = '0' and d = '0') then
      z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
    elsif (a = '1' and b = '1') or (a = '1' and c = '1') or
      (b = '1' and c = '1' and d = '1') then
      z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
    end if; -- else NULL
  end process;
end archthand0x0;
elsif ((a = '1' and b = '1') or (b = '1' and c = '1') or (a = '1' and d = '1')) then
    z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
end if; -- else NULL
end process;
end archthand0x0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- th24compx0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity th24compx0 is
    port(a: in std_logic;
         b: in std_logic;
         c: in std_logic;
         d: in std_logic;
         z: out std_logic);
end th24compx0;

architecture archth24compx0 of th24compx0 is
begin
    th24compx0: process(a, b, c, d)
    begin
        if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
            z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
        elsif  (a = '1' or b = '1') and (c = '1' or d = '1') then
            z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
        end if; -- else NULL
    end process;
end archth24compx0;

-----------------------------------------------------------
-- thxor0x0
-----------------------------------------------------------
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity thxor0x0 is
    port(a: in std_logic;
         b: in std_logic;
         c: in std_logic;
         d: in std_logic;
         z: out std_logic);
end thxor0x0;

architecture archthxor0x0 of thxor0x0 is
begin
    thxor0x0: process(a, b, c, d)
    begin
        if (a= '0' and b= '0' and c= '0' and d = '0') then
            z <= '0' after 0.28 ns;
        elsif  (a = '1' and b = '1') or (c = '1' and d = '1') then
            z <= '1' after 0.47 ns;
        end if; -- else NULL
    end process;
end archthxor0x0;
Appendix 5: NCL Components File

-- NCL_components.vhd

-- Package used for Completion Component

Library IEEE;
use IEEE.std_logic_1164.all;

package tree_funcs is

function log_u(L: integer; R: integer) return integer; -- ceiling of Log base R of L
function level_number(width, level, base: integer) return integer; -- bits to be combined on level of tree of width using base input gates

end tree_funcs;

package body tree_funcs is

function log_u(L: integer; R: integer) return integer is
variable temp: integer := 1;
variable level: integer := 0;
beg

if L = 1 then
return 0;
end if;

while temp < L loop
temp := temp * R;
level := level + 1;
end loop;

return level;
end;

function level_number(width, level, base: integer) return integer is
variable num: integer := width;
begin
if level /= 0 then
for i in 1 to level loop
if (log_u((num / base) + (num rem base), base) + i) = log_u(width, base)
then
num := (num / base) + (num rem base);
else
num := (num / base) + 1;
end if;
end loop;
end if;
return num;
end;

end tree_funcs;

-- Generic Completion Component

library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
use work.tree_funcs.all;

entity comp is
generic(width: in integer := 4);
port(a: IN std_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0);
ko: OUT std_logic);
end comp;

architecture arch of comp is

  type completion is array(log_u(width, 4) downto 0, width-1 downto 0) of std_logic;
  signal comp_array: completion;

  component th22x0
    port(a: in std_logic;
         b: in std_logic;
         z: out std_logic);
  end component;

  component th33x0
    port(a: in std_logic;
         b: in std_logic;
         c: in std_logic;
         z: out std_logic);
  end component;

  component th44x0
    port(a: in std_logic;
         b: in std_logic;
         c: in std_logic;
         d: in std_logic;
         z: out std_logic);
  end component;

begin
  RENAME: for i in 0 to width-1 generate
    comp_array(0, i) <= a(i);
  end generate;

  STRUCTURE: for k in 0 to log_u(width, 4)-1 generate
    begin
      NOT_LAST: if level_number(width, k, 4) > 4 generate
        begin
          PRINCIPLE: for j in 0 to (level_number(width, k, 4) / 4)-1 generate
            th44x0
            port map(comp_array(k, j*4), comp_array(k, j*4+1),
                     comp_array(k, j*4+2), comp_array(k, j*4+3),
                     comp_array(k+1, j));
          end generate;

          LEFT_OVER_GATE: if log_u((level_number(width, k, 4) / 4) +
          (level_number(width, k, 4) rem 4), 4) + k + 1
          /= log_u(width, 4) generate
            begin
              NEED22: if (level_number(width, k, 4) rem 4) = 2 generate
                th22x0
                port map(comp_array(k, level_number(width, k, 4)-2),
                         comp_array(k, level_number(width, k, 4)-1),
                         comp_array(k+1, (level_number(width, k, 4) / 4)));
              end generate;

              NEED33: if (level_number(width, k, 4) rem 4) = 3 generate
                th33x0
                port map(comp_array(k, level_number(width, k, 4)-3),
                         comp_array(k, level_number(width, k, 4)-2),
                         comp_array(k+1, (level_number(width, k, 4) / 4)));
            end generate;
        end generate;
    end generate;
end
end generate;
end generate;

LEFT_OVER_SIGNALS: if (log_u((level_number(width, k, 4) / 4) +
(level_number(width, k, 4) rem 4), 4) + k + 1
= log_u(width, 4)) and ((level_number(width,
k, 4) rem 4) /= 0) generate
begin
    RENAME_SIGNALS: for h in 0 to (level_number(width, k, 4) rem 4)-1
    generate
        comp_array(k+1, (level_number(width, k, 4) / 4)+h) <=
        comp_array(k, level_number(width, k, 4)-1-h);
    end generate;
end generate;
end generate;
end arch;

-- 1-bit Dual-Rail Register
use work.ncl_signals.all;
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
use ieee.ncl_signals.all;

entity ncl_register_D1 is
    generic(initial_value: integer := -1); -- 1=DATA1, 0=DATA0, -1=NULL
    port(D: in dual_rail_logic;
        ki: in std_logic;
        rst: in std_logic;
        Q: out dual_rail_logic;
        ko: out std_logic);
end ncl_register_D1;

architecture arch of ncl_register_D1 is
    signal Qbuf: dual_rail_logic;

component th22nx0
    port (a, b, rst: IN  std_logic;
            z: OUT std_logic);
end component;

component th22dx0
    port (a, b, rst: IN  std_logic;
            z: OUT std_logic);
end component;
end component;

component th12bx0
    port (a, b: IN std_logic;
         zb: OUT std_logic);
end component;

begin
    RstN: if initial_value = -1 generate
        R0: th22nx0
            port map(D.rail0, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail0);
        R1: th22nx0
            port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
    end generate;

    Rst1: if initial_value = 1 generate
        R0: th22nx0
            port map(D.rail0, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail0);
        R1: th22dx0
            port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
    end generate;

    Rst0: if initial_value = 0 generate
        R0: th22dx0
            port map(D.rail0, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail0);
        R1: th22nx0
            port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
    end generate;

    Q <= Qbuf;

    COMP: th12bx0
        port map(Qbuf.rail0, Qbuf.rail1, ko);
end;

-- Generic Length Dual-Rail Register

use work.ncl_signals.all;
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity ncl_register_D is
    generic(width: positive := 2 ;
            initial_value: integer := -1); -- 1=DATA1, 0=DATA0, -1=NULL
    port(D: in dual_rail_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0);
         ki: in std_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0);
         rst: in std_logic;
         Q: out dual_rail_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0);
         ko: out std_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0));
end ncl_register_D;

architecture gen of ncl_register_D is
    component ncl_register_D1
        generic(initial_value: integer := -1); -- 1=DATA1, 0=DATA0, -1=NULL
        port(D: in dual_rail_logic;
             ki: in std_logic;
             rst: in std_logic;
             Q: out dual_rail_logic;
             ko: out std_logic);
end component;
end component;

begin

    gen_reg: for i in 0 to D'length-1 generate
        REGi: ncl_register_D1
            generic map(initial_value)
            port map(D(i), ki(i), rst, Q(i), ko(i));
    end generate;
end;

-- 1-bit Quad-Rail Register

use work.ncl_signals.all;
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

entity ncl_register_Q1 is
  generic(initial_value: integer := -1); -- 3=DATA3, 2=DATA2, 1=DATA1, 0=DATA0, -1=NULL
  port(D: in quad_rail_logic;
       ki: in std_logic;
       rst: in std_logic;
       Q: out quad_rail_logic;
       ko: out std_logic);
end ncl_register_Q1;

architecture arch of ncl_register_Q1 is
  signal Qbuf: quad_rail_logic;

  component th22nx0
    port (a, b, rst: IN std_logic;
          z: OUT std_logic);
  end component;

  component th22dx0
    port (a, b, rst: IN std_logic;
          z: OUT std_logic);
  end component;

  component th14bx0
    port (a, b, c, d: IN std_logic;
          zb: OUT std_logic);
  end component;

begin

  RstN: if initial_value = -1 generate
    R0: th22nx0
        port map(D.rail0, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail0);
    R1: th22nx0
        port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
    R2: th22nx0
        port map(D.rail2, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail2);
    R3: th22nx0
        port map(D.rail3, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail3);
  end generate;

  Rst3: if initial_value = 3 generate
    R0: th22nx0
        port map(D.rail0, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail0);
    R1: th22nx0
        port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
    R2: th22nx0
        port map(D.rail2, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail2);
    R3: th22nx0
        port map(D.rail3, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail3);
  end generate;

end arch;
R1: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
R2: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail2, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail2);
R3: th22dx0
    port map(D.rail3, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail3);
end generate;
Rst2: if initial_value = 2 generate
R0: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail0, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail0);
R1: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
R2: th22dx0
    port map(D.rail2, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail2);
R3: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail3, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail3);
end generate;
Rst1: if initial_value = 1 generate
R0: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail0, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail0);
R1: th22dx0
    port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
R2: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail2, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail2);
R3: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail3, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail3);
end generate;
Rst0: if initial_value = 0 generate
R0: th22dx0
    port map(D.rail0, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail0);
R1: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail1, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail1);
R2: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail2, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail2);
R3: th22nx0
    port map(D.rail3, ki, rst, Qbuf.rail3);
end generate;
Q <= Qbuf;
COMP: th14bx0
    port map(Qbuf.rail0, Qbuf.rail1, Qbuf.rail2, Qbuf.rail3, ko);
end;
-- Generic Length Quad-Rail Register

use work.ncl_signals.all;
library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

d entity ncl_register_Q is
  generic(width: positive := 2 ;
    initial_value: integer := -1); -- 3=DATA3, 2=DATA2, 1=DATA1, 0=DATA0, -
    1=NULL
  port(D: in quad_rail_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0);
    ki: in std_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0);
    rst: in std_logic;
    Q: out quad_rail_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0);
    ko: out std_logic_vector(width-1 downto 0));
end ncl_register_Q;

architecture gen of ncl_register_Q is
  component ncl_register_Q1
    generic(initial_value: integer := -1); -- 3=DATA3, 2=DATA2, 1=DATA1, 0=DATA0, -
    1=NULL
    port(D: in quad_rail_logic;
    ki: in std_logic;
    rst: in std_logic;
    Q: out quad_rail_logic;
    ko: out std_logic);
  end component;

  begin
    gen_reg: for i in 0 to D'length-1 generate
      REGi: ncl_register_Q1
        generic map(initial_value)
          port map(D(i), ki(i), rst, Q(i), ko(i));
    end generate;
  end;
Appendix 6: NCL Functions Package

-- NCL_functions.vhd
-- to be used in testbenches
-- not to be synthesized

Library IEEE;
use IEEE.std_logic_1164.all;
use work.ncl_signals.all;

package functions is

function is_null(s: DUAL_RAIL_LOGIC_VECTOR) return BOOLEAN;
function is_data(s: DUAL_RAIL_LOGIC_VECTOR) return BOOLEAN;
function is_null(s: DUAL_RAIL_LOGIC) return BOOLEAN;
function is_data(s: DUAL_RAIL_LOGIC) return BOOLEAN;

function is_null(s: QUAD_RAIL_LOGIC_VECTOR) return BOOLEAN;
function is_data(s: QUAD_RAIL_LOGIC_VECTOR) return BOOLEAN;
function is_null(s: QUAD_RAIL_LOGIC) return BOOLEAN;
function is_data(s: QUAD_RAIL_LOGIC) return BOOLEAN;

end functions;

package body functions is

function is_null(s: DUAL_RAIL_LOGIC_VECTOR) return BOOLEAN is
begin
for i in 0 to s'length - 1 loop
  if s(i).rail0 = '0' and s(i).rail1 = '0' then
    null;
  else
    return FALSE;
  end if;
end loop;
return TRUE;
end is_null;

function is_data(s: DUAL_RAIL_LOGIC_VECTOR) return BOOLEAN is
begin
for i in 0 to s'length - 1 loop
  if s(i).rail0 = '1' or s(i).rail1 = '1' then
    null;
  else
    return FALSE;
  end if;
end loop;
return TRUE;
end is_data;

function is_null(s: DUAL_RAIL_LOGIC) return BOOLEAN is
begin
  if s.rail0 = '0' and s.rail1 = '0' then
    null;
  else
    return FALSE;
  end if;
end is_null;

function is_data(s: DUAL_RAIL_LOGIC) return BOOLEAN is
begin
  if s.rail0 = '1' or s.rail1 = '1' then
    return TRUE;
  else
    return FALSE;
  end if;
end is_data;

end functions;
function is_null(s: QUAD_RAIL_LOGIC_VECTOR) return BOOLEAN is
  begin
    for i in 0 to s'length - 1 loop
      if s(i).rail0 = '0' and s(i).rail1 = '0' and s(i).rail2 = '0' and s(i).rail3 = '0' then
        null;
      else
        return FALSE;
      end if;
    end loop;
    return TRUE;
  end is_null;

function is_data(s: QUAD_RAIL_LOGIC_VECTOR) return BOOLEAN is
  begin
    for i in 0 to s'length - 1 loop
      if s(i).rail0 = '1' or s(i).rail1 = '1' or s(i).rail2 = '1' or s(i).rail3 = '1' then
        null;
      else
        return FALSE;
      end if;
    end loop;
    return TRUE;
  end is_data;
end functions;